

Standardized Service Contract **Service Loose Coupling** **Service Abstraction** **Service Reusability** **Service Autonomy** **Service Statelessness** **Service Discoverability** **Service Composability**

"Services within the same service inventory are in compliance with the same contract design standards."

When a service is implemented as a Web service, the service contract can be comprised of a WSDL definition and multiple XML schema and policy definitions, as well as supplementary documents, such as an SLA.

service contract

Step 1: Custom design the Web service contract.
Step 2: Import the Web service contract into a development environment.
Step 3: Build the underlying solution logic in support of the pre-defined Web service contract.

Standardized policies and schemas can be centralized so that one definition represents an "official" set of policy assertions or complex types that can be referenced by multiple WSDL definitions.

The centralization of service contract documents is itself a contract-related design standard.

Contract design standards can affect and shape many element definitions and the overall structure of WSDL, XML schema, and policy definition documents.

areas affected by standardization

Chapter 6: Service Contracts (Standardization and Design)

"Service contracts impose low consumer coupling requirements and are themselves decoupled from their surrounding environment."

A service contract that is derived from its underlying environment can end up forming negative types of coupling upon parts of that environment.

the service contract and any underlying logic can be coupled to a parent business process

the service logic can be coupled to the service contract

the service contract can be coupled to the service logic

if the service contract is coupled to the service logic, it can assume logic-related coupling characteristics

the service logic will be implemented (and therefore coupled) to proprietary vendor technology

the service logic may be implemented (and therefore coupled) to proprietary vendor technology

the service logic can be coupled to various resources that are part of the overall implementation environment

the service logic may be coupled to multiple services it may need to compose

Logic-to-contract coupling is considered a positive form of coupling because it represents the independent creation of a contract that is decoupled from the service environment.

Service consumer programs are required to couple themselves to a service's contract. As a result, they inherit whatever forms of negative or positive coupling that reside within the service contract.

Service consumer designers may not be aware of the fact that the contract their program is forming a dependency on is negatively coupled.

This can lead to various forms of "indirect" or unintentional coupling.

Proliferation of negative coupling is undesirable because it leads to a fragile and inflexible service inventory reminiscent of past integration architectures.

Proliferation of positive coupling is desirable so as to allow service implementations to evolve without impacting service consumers.

This principle relates to the Contract Centralization pattern which dictates that the service contract be the sole means of accessing service logic and resources.

Chapter 7: Service Coupling (Intra-Service and Consumer Dependencies)

"Service contracts only contain essential information and information about services is limited to what is published in service contracts."

When determining what information about a service should be abstracted, it is helpful to categorize service meta data into distinct categories.

The application of this principle can affect the abstraction of each of these meta information types differently.

Access control procedures can therefore become a requirement that may need to be addressed on an organizational level via the introduction of new or modified processes.

The application of this principle can effectively turn a service into a "black box" where the only information made available about the service is what is published in its contract (which may encompass what is also published in a service registry).

Therefore, the content of the service contract itself is a primary focal point for which different abstraction levels exist.

As a result of this principle, service consumer program designers may be unaware that a service is composing others.

This places a great deal of emphasis on the reliability and the predictability of a service, regardless of what it may be encapsulating (which also raises issues as to what should be published within service SLAs).

Chapter 8: Service Abstraction (Information Hiding and Meta Abstraction Types)

"Services contain and express agnostic logic and can be positioned as reusable enterprise resources."

Within service-orientation reusability represents a core target design characteristic that is tied to the goal of achieving repeated ROI for agnostic services.

Commercial Product Vendor: commercial products for mass markets and with high reuse potential.

Traditional Enterprise: custom development project delivery lifecycle.

Service-Oriented Enterprise: service delivery lifecycle.

Logic Centralization ensures that service consumers only have one access point for any given body of logic.

Contract Centralization ensures that service consumers only access a service via its published service contract.

Project delivery processes typically need to be changed as a result of the consistent incorporation of this principle so as to ensure that Logic Centralization is always respected and that reuse potential of agnostic services is maximized.

The greatest obstacle to realizing this principle is usually associated with overcoming cultural resistance to these changes.

Chapter 9: Service Reusability (Commercial and Agnostic Design)

Chapter 10: Service Autonomy (Processing Boundaries and Control)

"Services exercise a high level of control over their underlying runtime execution environment."

The more control a service has over its underlying runtime implementation, the more predictable its runtime behavior will be. Reducing shared access to service resources and increasing physical isolation can raise a service's ability to function autonomously.

typical autonomy level: low

high potential autonomy

State data is commonly deferred at runtime allowing a service to remain active and stateless while other processing occurs.

There are different levels of statelessness a service design can achieve, depending on the frequency of state deferral and the quantity of state data being deferred. These levels are usually specific to each service capability.

	pre-invoication	begin participation in activity	pause in activity participation	continue activity participation	pause in activity participation	end participation in activity	post-invoication
active + stateful	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
active + stateless	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
state data repository	●	●	●	●	●	●	●

Chapter 11: Service Statelessness (State Management Deferral and Stateless Design)

"Services minimize resource consumption by deferring the management of state information when necessary."

Depending on the nature of its logic and its role within a composition, a service may need to transition through different states and may need to manage different types and amounts of state data.

primary state: active, passive

primary state conditions: stateful, stateless

types of state information: session, business

types of context data: context, context data, context rules

State data management consumes system resources and can result in a significant resource burden when multiple instances of services are concurrently invoked, especially with agnostic services that are involved in the automation of multiple business processes.

Therefore, the temporary delegation and deferral of state management can increase service scalability and support a wider range of reuse and recomposition over time.

State data is commonly deferred at runtime allowing a service to remain active and stateless while other processing occurs.

There are different levels of statelessness a service design can achieve, depending on the frequency of state deferral and the quantity of state data being deferred. These levels are usually specific to each service capability.

Chapter 12: Service Discoverability (Interpretability and Communication)

"Services are supplemented with communicative meta data by which they can be effectively discovered and interpreted."

Of the four service meta information types functional and quality of service data are most relevant when focusing on a service's communications quality for discoverability and interpretability purposes.

The application of this principle supports a standardized process of service discovery and interpretation within an organization through the use of a service registry as the central repository of service meta data.

The human searches the service registry to locate a service with the desired functionality.

Based on the registry record's level of discoverability and interpretability, the human is able to discover and identify a service potentially capable of fulfilling its requirements.

A key goal of this principle is to enable a wide range of project team members to effectively carry out the discovery process and not to limit it to those with technical expertise.

Both service contracts and records within a service registry contain meta information with discoverability and interpretability characteristics.

Much of this information relates to and originates from the service profile document that may have been created and maintained since the service was first conceptualized during the service modeling phase (see Chapter 15 and Appendix B).

contains services with contracts that are ideally discoverable and interpretable independently from the

exists as an extension of infrastructure that supports the discovery and interpretation of services within a

Chapter 13: Service Composability (Composition Member Design and Complex Compositions)

"Services are effective composition participants, regardless of the size and complexity of the composition."

Service-orientation is a design paradigm with a distinct approach to carrying out a separation of concerns.

Services capable of addressing agnostic or cross-cutting concerns can be repurposed to solve multiple problems.

This requires an effective means of decomposing solution logic and repeatedly recomposing it to solve new problems.

This principle is primarily concerned with a service's ability to act as an effective composition member so that it can support the realization of new business requirements that can be fulfilled by the assembly of service compositions.

The composability potential of a service increases and becomes increasingly important as more services become available within a given service inventory.

A key aspect of this principle and service compositions in general is that individual concerns are, in fact, solved by service capabilities because it is the capabilities that are composed within a service composition.

Successful service composition design relies on the collective composability potential of each composition member.

Chapter 13: Service Composability (Composition Member Design and Complex Compositions)

SOA: Principles of Service Design
by Thomas Erl

www.soabooks.com www.prenhall.com www.whatissoa.com www.soaprinciples.com
www.soasystems.com www.soapatterns.com www.soapatterns.com www.soapatterns.com
www.soaschool.com www.soaspecs.com www.soaspecs.com www.soaspecs.com
www.soamag.com www.soaglossary.com

Prentice Hall Service-Oriented Computing Series from Thomas Erl

Copyright © 2008 SOA Systems Inc.
ISBN: 0132344823, Prentice Hall
(purchase this poster at www.soaposters.com)